

Appendix A2. All Values Are Legitimate (Voters & Non-Voters)

Hugo Justice System & Public Safety Services Exploratory Committee Hugo Neighborhood Association & Historical Society

June 15, 2015

Appendix A2, *All Values Are Legitimate*, is one of several appendices supporting the research document entitled, *Justice System & Public Safety Services Study Design: 2015*.

- Hugo Justice System & Public Safety Services Exploratory Committee. Draft 2015. *Justice System & Public Safety Services Study Design: 2015*. Hugo Neighborhood Association & Historical Society. Hugo, OR.

Justice System Exploratory Committee
Hugo Neighborhood Association & Historical Society
<http://www.hugoneighborhood.org/justicesystemexploratorycommittee.htm>

APPENDICES

Appendix A.	Issues
Appendix A1.	Being Heard
Appendix A2.	All Values Are Legitimate
Appendix B.	Affected
Appendix B1.	Potential Affected Conditions
Appendix B2.	Studies & Information
Appendix B3.	Analysis of Public Situation
Appendix C.	Alternatives
Appendix D.	Procedural Requirements
Appendix D1.	Impact Methodology Model
Appendix E.	Impacts
Appendix F.	Public
Appendix F1.	Interest Groups
Appendix F2.	Draft Potential Funders & Sources
Appendix G.	Public Study

AUTHORITY

- Hugo Justice System & Public Safety Services Exploratory Committee. Draft July 18, 2013. *Justice System & Public Safety Services Issue Scope Of Work (Scope)* Hugo Neighborhood Association & Historical Society. Hugo, OR.

Justice System Exploratory Committee
Hugo Neighborhood Association & Historical Society
<http://www.hugoneighborhood.org/justicesystemexploratorycommittee.htm>

Appendix A2. All Values Are Legitimate

Reexamining the purpose of the JS&PSS grant is also an opportunity to view the four levy failures as a function of the identified issues translated into citizen involvement (CI) principles where all values are legitimate. This analysis was conducted for three of the specific issues/reasons believed for the failures.

6. Citizens Feel Their Voices Are Not Being Heard.
7. Lack of Transparency.
8. Opportunities Had Not Occurred To Inform Voters in a Comprehensive Non-Special Interest Fashion.

The above three issues/reasons of concern have been translated into the proposed Study's guiding principals (GP) of CI. All values are legitimate.

- CI GP 1. "Being Heard" means that a significant number of the active citizens feel their concerns and issues have been seriously considered in the Study, along with their recommendations for affected environment (AE) components, alternatives (Alts), and standards for estimating impacts (i.e., impact standards (IS)).
- CI GP 2a. Transparency. This accessibility or transparency principle is that the project be a comprehensive assessment/analysis document accessible to all through web publishing, including its two principle components, the Analysis of the Management Situation and Study.
- CI GP 2b. Transparency. All relevant written (pdf format) citizen comments will be web published, along with a response either in the AMS or the Study. This means comments are encouraged and will be responded to until the end of the public comment period on the web published AMS.
- CI GP 3. Comprehensive Treatment. The Study will ultimately address and document all citizen's concerns, for and against the historically proposed solutions as expressed in the last four levies (i.e., problems/issues, AE, Alts, and IS) submitted per the AMS comment schedule in a pdf format in a complete and comprehensive fashion.

The Study design's goal is independence of a direct government controlled agenda toward the objectives of credibility addressing all concerns, both those of government and citizens in the identification of issues and components of the AE, design of Alts., IS, and environmental consequences or impacts of those Alts.

The Study is not expected to significantly affect the first four issues. However, it is optimistically believed that the successful completion of the Study will positively impact the way involved citizens feel about issues 6 - 8, and in understanding, and hopefully empathy, if not ownership, for all the identified issues. Issue 9 is the responsibility of JO CO government.

Just as important, it is believed there will be positive impacts for active citizens involved in identifying and designing their own alternative management programs and standards for addressing the impacts of the alternatives: direct, indirect, and cumulative.

The future JS&PSS grant application analysis and impact terms are provided here, in some detail, as resources for those citizens that take the opportunity to share their issues, ideas, and solutions. The Hugo JS&PSS Committee feels that, even though the normal citizen is frustrated to even hating government regulations and impacts statements (i.e., they are tools of government not resources for citizens), citizens that apply bureaucratic language effectively will have a greater opportunity to be heard and influence, as well as sharing their ideas with other citizens.