# Appendix V.A.3. LUBA 28.8.3 HEADNOTES

# (28.8.3 Grounds for Reversal/Remand - Unconstitutionality) FOR ASSIGNMENT OF ERRORS June 19, 2013

#### **LUBA HEADNOTES 28**

### 28. LUBA SCOPE OF REVIEW

28.1 Generally 28.2 Denials

## 28.8 Grounds for Reversal/Remand

28.8.1 Generally

28.8.2 Lack of Jurisdiction

28.8.3 Unconstitutionality

28.8.4 Procedural Errors

28.8.5 Noncompliance with Applicable Law

28.8.6 Inadequate Findings

28.8.7 Unsupported by Substantial Evidence

**28.8.3** LUBA Scope of Review – Grounds for Reversal/Remand – Unconstitutionality. Standing to appeal a post-acknowledgment plan amendment to LUBA is governed by ORS 197.620(1), which requires only that the petitioner participate in the proceedings below. No statute governing LUBA requires that petitioners who wish to advance a facial constitutional challenge to an ordinance at LUBA must first demonstrate that the ordinance injures their legally protected interests. *Barnes v. City of Hillsboro*, 61 Or LUBA 375 (2010).

**28.8.3 LUBA Scope of Review – Grounds for Reversal/Remand – Unconstitutionality.** To advance a facial challenge under the Takings Clause of the Oregon Constitution to an ordinance that allegedly requires an uncompensated physical invasion of private property and acquisition of a property easement, a petitioner need not demonstrate that the mere enactment of the ordinance effects a physical invasion or acquisition of property. *Barnes v. City of Hillsboro*, 61 Or LUBA 375 (2010).

#### FOR FURTHER HEADNOTES GO TO:

#### LUBA HEADNOTES 28.8.3 GROUNDS FOR REVERSAL/REMAND -

**UNCONSTITUTIONALITY** (http://www.oregon.gov/LUBA/docs/headnotes/28.8.3.pdf) (Also see Appendix V.A.3. LUBA) Headnote Index)

Note to Files: These headnotes need to be expanded at some future date.

C:\Documents and Settings\mike\My Documents\AAA Applications\Rogue Advocates\Training\Workshop Presentations\Talent 4 Clean Air and Water\Training Presentation\Appendix VA3 AOE Headnotes 28.8.3 Grounds for RR Unconstitutionality 061913.wpd