

ROUGH NOTES: EFFECTIVE LAND USE TESTIMONY

June 19, 2013

IV. “FOR THE RECORD, OR NOT” MATTERS

Rogue Advocates has extensively researched the issue of the record and documented the project in the publication entitled, *For The Record, Or Not*.

(Hugo Land Use Committee, Goal One Coalition, Rogue Advocates. February 2007. *For The Record, Or Not*. Hugo, OR (<http://www.hugoneighborhood.org/fortherecordornot.htm>))

- Executive Summary
- I. Authors
- II. "For the Record"
 - A. Rules & Regulations
 - B. Josephine County Rural Land Development Code(RLDC): Rules for Accepting Testimony "For The Record"
 - C. LUBA Opinions and Headnotes
- III. Findings
- IV. Local Josephine County's History
- V. Testimony Alternatives
- VI. Strategy Considerations For Testimony Alternatives
- VII. Summary
- VIII. Disclaimer
- IX. Signature Page

The Term “Placed Before” Is a Term of Art As used in OAR 661-010-0025(1)(b), the term “placed before” is a term of art and does not merely describe the act of setting documents in front of the decision maker. Legislative decision making often involves less precisely defined procedures for compiling an evidentiary record than quasi-judicial decision making. *Witham Parts and Equipment Co. v. ODOT*, 42 Or LUBA 589 (2002).